| 7 | COPY Volume: I |
|----|--|
| 1 | |
| 2 | Pages: 1-60 |
| 3 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 4 | DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS Exhibit 8 |
| 5 | x |
| 6 | LAYLA KIANI, |
| 7 | Plaintiff, |
| 8 | V . |
| 9 | TRUSTEES OF BOSTON UNIVERSITY, |
| 10 | Defendants. |
| 11 | x |
| 12 | DEPOSITION OF ANDREW KULL |
| 13 | Monday, April 25, 2005, 10:04 a.m. |
| 14 | Law Office of Ben Tariri |
| 15 | 343 Washington Street |
| 16 | Newton, Massachusetts 02458 |
| 17 | Reporter: Toni F. Beckwith, RMR |
| 18 | |
| 19 | ***** |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Toni F. Beckwith, Registered Merit Reporter 50 Winsor Avenue |
| 23 | Watertown, Massachusetts 02472 |
| 24 | Tel: 617.924.2731 Fax: 617.924.9899 |

BY MR. TARIRI:

- Q. Professor Kull, did you read the term papers, the drafts that she brought in for you to look at?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And had she cited authorities throughout the drafts?
 - A. There were citations.
 - Q. Were the citations correct?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Can you elaborate on that, please?
- A. I was reading her drafts in order to help her pursue a research topic, not to check the accuracy or the adequacy of her citations.
- Q. Professor Kull, you already testified that January 24 was the correct date that you -- I'm going from your e-mail which is Exhibit 1 -- that you discovered plagiarism, and you also said earlier that it was that day, the day before the grades were due, that you actually read her paper; is that correct?
- A. January 24 must have been the day that I read what she called her final draft for the first time.

Q. And on that day, did you have an opportunity to check the citations, to check the authorities?

A. Yes.

- Q. And how did you do that?
- A. When I read Ms. Kiani's final draft on January 24, I noticed for the first time that some of the statements in her paper sounded familiar, and I began to look to see where they had come from, and in the space of the next half hour found three or four passages of the paper that were plagiarized from various sources.
- Q. And these excerpts were not in the drafts that she submitted before?
 - A. I believe some of them had been.
- Q. Did they not sound familiar at the time of the draft?
 - A. No.
- Q. Can you just give us an example -- I don't mean verbatim example -- an example of how long the citation, how long she used someone else's work without citation in terms of how many lines, just an example?
 - A. I could show you an example if I had

| the paper. | But in general terms, the | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------|----|-----|-----|
| plagiarized | passages were extensive. | Ιt | was | not |
| a word here | and a word there. | | | |

- Q. Would it be fair to say that they were obvious?
- A. Plagiarism is very obvious once you know what's going on.
- Q. Was it obvious that this was a saying from someone else's work pretty much put in there verbatim and it's long enough to be recognized by an authority like yourself?
- A. It was not obvious to me the first few times I read it.
- Q. So it became obvious to you on that date when you actually read the paper?
- A. When I read the final paper on January 24, something about it raised a question in my mind. The question is what leads one to then go and look for the sources. At that point, it's obvious to anybody.
- Q. When that question, as you put it, was raised in your mind, what did you do? Did you contact Ms. Kiani?
 - A. When the question was raised in my

| mind, | I looked around m | y office f | for some easily | У |
|--------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|---|
| availa | able sources to ch | eck whethe | er, in fact, | |
| there | were plagiarized | passages i | n this paper, | |
| and I | found that there | were. | | |

- Q. Professor Kull, you refer to it as plagiarized passages. Did you already know that she had plagiarized?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. So you believe this was plagiarism?
- A. It was obvious.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ TARIRI: Please mark this as Exhibit 2.

(Exhibit 2 marked for identification)

Q. What I have handed you is a page from Boston University's disciplinary regulations for all BU students. It's just one page.

MR. TARIRI: It really should be -- I was hoping if you could place that sticker...

MR. ELSWIT: Why don't we stipulate that Exhibit 2 to Professor Kull's deposition is a page of the disciplinary regulations that was previously identified as Exhibit B to the complaint in this case. Are you okay with that?

there's no purpose in having him summarize it.

The text is what the text is. Let's move this along.

- Q. Were you aware that sometime in May of 2003 Ms. Kiani was notified by Dean Cass that she would not be allowed to graduate?
 - A. I became aware of that subsequently.
 - Q. Subsequent to what?
 - A. Subsequent to the event.
- Q. Professor Kull, when you reported the plagiarism -- you said you reported the plagiarism -- what did the dean's office do, do you recall?
- A. I reported the plagiarism on the Friday, January 24th, to Professor Marks as associate dean. To the best of my recollection, there was a meeting the following Monday afternoon, the 27th, in Dean Cass's office which the dean, Professor Marks and I discussed this matter.

The upshot of that meeting, to the best of my recollection, was that the dean said to me, We will make the decision about disciplinary proceedings in the dean's office.

| You are not concerned in that. It's up to you |
|---|
| to decide what to do as the teacher in your |
| course regarding what grade is reported for |
| Ms. Kiani. |

- Q. Do you recall if the case was pursued, if the issue was pursued by the office of the dean?
- A. I didn't hear anything about it until the day in the summer of 2003 when Professor Ryckman came to discuss the matter with me.
- Q. This is despite the fact that you recorded what you perceived to be plagiarism?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Professor Kull, you testified earlier that Ms. Kiani was in your classes for one semester, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that there were 13 meetings of which 11 of them she was present and two of them she was not, to the best of your recollection?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You also testified this was a small class?

marginal relevance of the discussion.

Speaking very casually saying that a student seemed "out of it" might refer to one or the other or some combination of those factors.

- Q. Did you know during your professorship of her that she was under medication?
 - A. No.

- Q. Had you known that, would you have treated her differently?
 - A. No.
- Q. So had you known that she was acting, in fact, drowsy, you would still have treated her like you would any other person?

MR. ELSWIT: Objection. There is no evidence on the record that she was acting drowsy.

MR. TARIRI: Objection noted.

A. It seemed to me observing Ms. Kiani, but without any technical knowledge, that she clearly suffered from serious disabilities of one kind and another. If she had not had this appearance, I might have responded to her occasional inattentiveness or marginal participation more severely, would have asked

50 1 her what she was doing, would have required more 2 of her. 3 As it was, I was probably more lenient 4 with her than I would have been. Whether if 5 someone had told me that she was taking a 6 particular medication, it's difficult for me to 7 imagine what I would have done differently. 8 Professor Kull, you testified that you 9 taught at Emory College, is it Emory University? 10 Α. Yes. 11 For about 15 years and three years at 12 BU also; is that correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 During your professorship, did you 15 have other handicapped students? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Can you describe what sort of handicap 18 they had? Were there many? 19 MR. ELSWIT: Objection. 20 Α. I don't know how many. 21 MR. TARIRI: Objection noted. 22 And I don't have specific

recollections beyond one, which is that one day

in the middle of class I had a student who had

23

Q. So it is your testimony, then, that you did not speak to anyone else other than Professor Ryckman and Professor Mariner and Professor Pettit, Professor Marks and Attorney Rosenfeld; am I correct as far as --

A. That's my recollection.

- Q. When did you give Ms. Kiani finally, when did you actually grade her term paper? Do you recall the day?
- A. The Friday, January 24, having discovered the plagiarism, having inquired what is the procedure, having informed the associate dean, Professor Marks, who told me we will have to talk about this; don't do anything meanwhile. I went down to the Registrar's Office, I handed in the other grades for the course, and I said, No grade is being recorded for this one particular student. It is simply going to be deferred. They said, Oh.

On Monday morning when she was waiting for me as I got there, I informed Ms. Kiani I have not decided what to do. After the meeting that I already described to you, which I believe was that Monday afternoon, I spent perhaps 48

hours trying to decide what to do. I eventually came to the conclusion that I would report a grade of F, whatever the law school did or did not do as a disciplinary matter.

And my recollection is that on the day when I had made that decision and was intending to get in touch with Ms. Kiani to come in and see me, she was once again waiting for me, I believe, so I didn't have to say, Please come to see me; she was there.

So I said, I've decided that I'm going to report an F in my course. The disciplinary procedure, whatever it is, and if there's going to be one, is in the hands of the Dean's Office, and you should go and talk to the associate dean about that.

I said, I would be happy to help you make up the three credits if you wanted to do work for me. I would understand you might prefer to do it with somebody else. She said, I'll think about it, and she left.

And I wrote a memorandum to the registrar saying, Please report a failing grade for this student in this course.